

|   |  |                               |                               |
|---|--|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> |  | Application No.<br>09/547,273 | Applicant(s)<br>AIKENS ET AL. |
| Examiner<br>BENJAMIN AILES                  |  | Art Unit<br>2442              |                               |

  

**All Participants:**

(1) BENJAMIN AILES.

(2) Marcia Doubet (40999).

**Date of Interview:** 16 August 2010

**Type of Interview:**  
☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Status of Application:** After Non-Final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 15:48 EST

  

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

  

Claims discussed:  
 1, 7, 11, 19

Prior art documents discussed:

  

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**  
*The examiner presented proposed claim amendments to obviate any rejections under 35 USC 101. The proposed amendments are also considered allowable over the cited prior art of record and make the claims more parallel in scope. The attorney agreed to the proposed examiner's amendment.*

  

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  

/BENJAMIN A AILES/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2442

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)